Infinity—nothing. Our soul is cast into the body where it finds number, time, dimensions; it reasons about these things and calls them natural, or necessary, and can believe nothing else.

Unity added to infinity does not increase it at all, any more than a foot added to an infinite measurement: the finite is annihilated in the presence of the infinite and becomes pure nothingness. So it is with our mind before God, with our justice before divine justice. There is not so great a disproportion between our justice and God’s as between unity and infinity.

God’s justice must be as vast as his mercy. Now his justice towards the damned is less vast and ought to be less startling to us than his mercy towards the elect.

We know that the infinite exists without knowing its nature, just as we know that it is untrue that numbers are finite. Thus it is true that there is an infinite number, but we do not know what it is. It is untrue that it is even, untrue that it is odd, for by adding a unit it does not change its nature. Yet it is a number, and every number is even or odd. (It is true that this applies to every finite number.)

Therefore we may well know that God exists without knowing what he is.

Is there no substantial truth, seeing that there are so many true things which are not truth itself?

Thus we know the existence and nature of the finite because we too are finite and extended in space.

We know the existence of the infinite without knowing its nature, because it too has extension but unlike us no limits.

But we do not know either the existence or the nature of God, because he has neither extension nor limits.

But by faith we know his existence, through glory we shall know his nature.

Now I have already proved that it is quite possible to know that something exists without knowing its nature.

Let us now speak according to our natural lights.

If there is a God, he is infinitely beyond our comprehension, since, being indivisible and without limits, he bears no relation to us. We are therefore incapable of knowing either what he is or whether he is. That being so, who would dare to attempt an answer to the question? Certainly not we, who bear no relation to him.

Who then will condemn Christians for being unable to give rational grounds for their belief, professing as they do a religion for which they cannot give rational grounds? They declare that it is a folly,
stultitiam, in expounding it to the world, and then you complain that they do not prove it. If they did
prove it they would not be keeping their word. It is by being without proof that they show they are not
without sense. “Yes, but although that excuses those who offer their religion as such, and absolves them
from the criticism of producing it without rational grounds, it does not absolve those who accept it.”
Let us then examine this point, and let us say: “Either God is or he is not.” But to which view shall
we be inclined? Reason cannot decide this question. Infinite chaos separates us. At the far end of this
infinite distance a coin is being spun which will come down heads or tails. How will you wager? Reason
cannot make you choose either, reason cannot prove either right.

Do not then condemn as wrong those who have made a choice, for you know nothing about it. “No,
but I will condemn them not for having made this particular choice, but any choice, for, although the
one who calls heads and the other one are equally at fault, the fact is that they are both at fault: the
right thing is not to wager at all.”

Yes, but you must wager. There is no choice, you are already committed. Which will you choose then?
Let us see: since a choice must be made, let us see which offers you the least interest. You have two
things to lose: the true and the good; and two things to stake: your reason and your will, your knowledge
and your happiness; and your nature has two things to avoid: error and wretchedness. Since you must
necessarily choose, your reason is no more affronted by choosing one rather than the other. That is one
point cleared up. But your happiness? Let us weigh up the gain and the loss involved in calling heads
that God exists. Let us assess the two cases: if you win you win everything, if you lose you lose nothing.
Do not hesitate then; wager that he does exist. “That is wonderful. Yes, I must wager, but perhaps I am
wagering too much.” Let us see: since there is an equal chance of gain and loss, if you stood to win
only two lives for one you could still wager, but supposing you stood to win three?

You would have to play (since you must necessarily play) and it would be unwise of you, once you are
obliged to play, not to risk your life in order to win three lives at a game in which there is an equal
chance of losing and winning. But there is an eternity of life and happiness. That being so, even though
there were an infinite number of chances, of which only one were in your favour, you would still be
right to wager one in order to win two; and you would be acting wrongly, being obliged to play, in ref-
fusing to stake one life against three in a game, where out of an infinite number of chances there is one
in your favour, if there were an infinity of infinitely happy life to be won. But here there is an infinity
of infinitely happy life to be won, one chance of winning against a finite number of chances of losing,
and what you are staking is finite. That leaves no choice; wherever there is infinity, and where there are
not infinite chances of losing against that of winning, there is no room for hesitation, you must give
everything. And thus, since you are obliged to play, you must be renouncing reason if you hoard your
life rather than risk it for an infinite gain, just as likely to occur as a loss amounting to nothing.

For it is no good saying that it is uncertain whether you will win, that it is certain that you are taking a
risk, and that the infinite distance between the certainty of what you are risking and the uncertainty of
what you may gain makes the finite good you are certainly risking equal to the infinite good that you
are not certain to gain. This is not the case. Every gambler takes a certain risk for an uncertain gain,
and yet he is taking a certain finite risk for an uncertain finite gain without sinning against reason.
Here there is no infinite distance between the certain risk and the uncertain gain: that is not true. There
is, indeed, an infinite distance between the certainty of winning and the certainty of losing, but the
proportion between the uncertainty of winning and the certainty of what is being risked is in proportion to the chances of winning or losing. And hence if there are as many chances on one side as on the other you are playing for even odds. And in that case the certainty of what you are risking is equal to the uncertainty of what you may win; it is by no means infinitely distant from it. Thus our argument carries infinite weight, when the stakes are finite in a game where there are even chances of winning and losing and an infinite prize to be won.

This is conclusive and if men are capable of any truth this is it.

“I confess, I admit it, but is there really no way of seeing what the cards are?” – “Yes. Scripture and the rest, etc.” – “Yes, but my hands are tied and my lips are sealed; I am being forced to wager and I am not free; I am being held fast and I am so made that I cannot believe. What do you want me to do then?” – “That is true, but at least get it into your head that, if you are unable to believe, it is because of your passions, since reason impels you to believe and yet you cannot do so. Concentrate then not on convincing yourself by multiplying proofs of God’s existence but by diminishing your passions. You want to find faith and you do not know the road. You want to be cured of unbelief and you ask for the remedy: learn from those who were once bound like you and who now wager all they have. These are people who know the road you wish to follow, who have been cured of the affliction of which you wish to be cured: follow the way by which they began. They behaved just as if they did believe, taking holy water, having masses said, and so on. That will make you believe quite naturally, and will make you more docile.” – “But that is what I am afraid of.” – “But why? What have you to lose? But to show you that this is the way, the fact is that this diminishes the passions which are your great obstacles . . .”

End of this Address

“Now what harm will come to you from choosing this course? You will be faithful, honest, humble, grateful, full of good works, a sincere, true friend ... It is true you will not enjoy noxious pleasures, glory and good living, but will you not have others?

“I tell you that you will gain even in this life, and that at every step you take along this road you will see that your gain is so certain and your risk so negligible that in the end you will realize that you have wagered on something certain and infinite for which you have paid nothing.”

“How these words fill me with rapture and delight!”

“If my words please you and seem cogent, you must know that they come from a man who went down upon his knees before and after to pray this infinite and indivisible being to whom he submits his own, that he might bring your being also to submit to him for your own good and for his glory: and that strength might thus be reconciled with lowliness.”